

REMARKS


At the outset applicant's wish to thank the Examiner for allowance of claims 1-7 and 10-14 and for indication of allowable subject matter in claims 8 and 9.

Claims 8 and 9 have been amended to make them dependent on claims which provide all necessary antecedent bases. Specifically, claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for lack of an antecedent basis for the term "said electrodes". Claim 8, as amended, now depends on claim 12 which includes the electrodes.

Claim 9 was rejected under § 112 for lack of an antecedent basis for "said polymer". As amended, claim 9 now depends on claim 13 which recites the polymer.

In view of the foregoing it is believed that claims 8 and 9 now fully comply with the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 112 and that all claims are now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and favorable action in this regard is therefore earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



GLEN E. BOOKS
Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 24,950

Docket Administrator
Lowenstein Sandler PC
65 Livingston Avenue
Roseland, NJ 07068